



The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)



The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

One of the OECD's "neo-liberal turning points":

- episode which happened in the second half of the 1970s
- one moment in the ideational transformation of this organization, retrospectively labeled as a "neoliberal" or "monetarist" turning point
- the drafting and publication of an emblematic general report:



the McCracken report

The McCracken report was released in 1977:

- After two years of intense debates, in the context of "stagflation" among Western economies
- A year ahead of the second oil crisis of the decade
- Official title: "Towards full employment and price stability"
- Unemployment, growth, fiscal and monetary policies, innovation.
- The key point is about stagflation and the critique on the Phillip's curve (Phillips, 1958; Friedman, 1968; Phelps, 1968; Lucas, 1972)

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

1. Research material:

- original archives: verbatim of the meetings, drafts and preliminary studies, correspondence
- retrospective interviews: Marris, Gass, Chesnais, McCracken

2. Methodology:

- to reconstruct the making of the report, the collective writing process (Gayon, 2009)
- to suspend considerations about the final content of the report and the different readings of the report (ex: Keohane, 1978)
- → to reconstitute the internal and external dynamics of this writing process: intellectual debates, powerplays in the OECD

3. Sociology of report

- the report as a field of investigations, and not simply as an inert document to read and interpret
- the report as a "social form" (Elias, 1970), as a "bureaucratic space of enunciation" (Bourdieu, 2012).

4. Main lines of research:

- the **formation** of the expert's group
- the composition of the group
- its relations with the Secretariat general
- its relations with external persons or institutions : journalists, governments

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

5. The status of the McCracken report in the range of OECD's report

- Regular publications: "Economic outlooks", "Employment outlooks", « Country surveys », « Going for growth », etc. edited by directorates and committees
- Working papers: published on specific issues, for internal diffusion, by some agents
- Extraordinary reports, like the *Jobs study* in the 1990s, *Better Life's Index* last year, and the *McCracken report* in the 1970s.
 - strategic issues,
 - strong commitment of high-profile civil servants, delegates, ministers
 - the horizontal way to produce between directorates,
 - the publicity given to the final product.

- 6. The order of the report in a context of doubts and uncertainty
- The McCracken report was commissioned by the Secretary of State Henry Kissinger in late 74.
- The unsolved "stagflation problem"
- Incapacity to prescribe solutions
- First rattle of the routinized lines of macroeconomic and political prescripts in the OECD
- → the report delegated to a group of experts the responsability for the diagnosis and the solutions to be prescribed

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

7. Composition of the Group: properties of orthodoxy

Selection process poorly documented in the archives

Nine members:

- male, mature (born between 1911 and 1932)
- PhD in economics or professorship (7/9)
- OECD experience as consultants (5/9)
- all the main countries of OECD are represented
- highest executive position: minister, central bankers

OECD's press staff:

- Insistence of their academics credentials, "technical" skills and experiences
- No precisions on the member's political tendencies and their partisan activities
- → a neutralization process of the members' profile
- → "properties of orthodoxy" (Lebaron, 1997) of the group: experts came from the financial sub-field of their national bureaucratic field

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

Paul McCracken's profile



- Harvard alumnus
- PhD dissertation in front of Alvin Hansen,
 J.A. Schumpeter, G. Harberler
- Former chairman of Council of Economic Advisers (CEA) under Nixon administration
- Well-known for his cautious, pragmatic conception of supply-side economics
- Co-founder of the American Enterprise Institute

8. The definition of a middle-range position

- no Cambridge Keynesian economics (Matthews)
- no German monetarist view point (Giersh)
- no radical neoliberalism à la Lucas
- nothing against the "neoclassical synthesis" on unemployment and inflation problems
- nothing for a more socio-polical analysis of inflation or unemployment (Kalecki, Robinson)
- nothing about the construction of a new Bretton Woods to manage the monetary disorder and the reemergence of global finance (Bancor)
- nothing about a redefinition of growth and well-being of Nations (Club de Rome)

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

9. A contradictory political platform

- to extend and save old keynesian recipes: the defense of a "demand management" policies following a "narrow path" to avoid inflation
- to open new ways of economic thinking inside the OECD : the Friedman « adaptative expectation » on the inflation problem

10. Incoherence as a strength

- the McCracken report constituted a wait and see position
- this position is constructed during the writing process

11. The writing process and the « limits of acceptable »

Political, scientific, and bureaucratic constraints perceived by participants

- Constraints as interactions and direct pressures
- Constraints as "adaptative expectations" of the OECD's civil servants and experts at different key moments of the writing process
- → they set the limits between the « thinkable » and the « expected acceptable"

The OECD experts and the "crisis" of keynesianism: the McCracken report (1975-1977)

12. Key moments of the writing process:

- the **order of the report** (US State Secretary)
- the head of the experts's committee (former chairman CEA)
- the one and only informal presentation of the draft (US Congress Joint Committee)
- the media of reference (WJS, FT)
- the struggles in the US economics field (Friedman vs. Samuelson)
- the context of Carter's investiture and the newly CEA
- → external influences, inputs and internal expectations in the same direction: reveal the influence of USA in the OECD cenacle