#### Balance Sheets after the EMU: Tricky but Manageable

#### **Cédric Durand** (Université Paris 13) **Sébastien Villemot** (OFCE – Sciences Po)

Thessaloniki Workshop 27 April 2016



#### The issue

- Devaluation impact has two channels
  - trade (generally positive)
  - balance sheet (potentially quite negative)
- Experience in emerging countries
  - balance sheet effects matter
  - if big currency mismatch, positive trade effect of devaluation can be overturned
- In the eurozone (EZ): legal aspects of redenomination

#### Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016

#### **Objectives**

- Assess balance sheet risk in EZ
- Two scenarios:
  - single country exit
  - complete euro area break-up
- Analysis by sector and by country (core + periphery)
- Give relevant policy recommendations
  - ex ante limitation of exposure
  - ex post mitigation

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



#### Outline

- The conundrum of balance sheet redenomination
- A look at international investment positions
- Relevant debt
- Relevant net position
- Composite risk index by country and sector
- Policy recommendations



# The conundrum of balance sheet redenomination

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



# The contractionary devaluation hypothesis?

- Bebczuk et al. (2006):
  - contractionary devaluation if foreign debt composition >84% foreign currency
  - domestic dollarization worsen things
- Towbin and Weber (2013):
  - compare which exchange rate regime (floating vs fixed) better insulates from shocks
  - fixed better if foreign currency debt too high
- However, Bleakley and Cowan (2008): firms tend to match currency composition of stocks with flows
- Most results on countries experiencing "hot money"driven crises... maybe not relevant for EZ?



#### **Related literature: eurozone case**

- Nordvig and Firoozye (2012)
  - legal analysis of redenomination issues
  - limited break-up (exit of periphery countries) manageable
  - more skeptical about full-blown break-up (even with ECU-2)
  - in any case, break-up must be accomplished all-at-once
- Amiel and Hippolyte (2015)
  - case study: market debt of large French firms
  - find significant negative impact for both financial and nonfinancial large corporations
  - strong devaluation overshooting hypothesis
  - do not take into account mitigation through assets

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



#### Legal aspects of redenomination

- Principle of lex monetae
- Importance of governing law of each instrument (domestic vs foreign)
- Example of Greek 2012 restructuring:
  - old bonds under Greek law: CAC added *ex post* by law in parliament
  - new bonds under English law: less risky for investors
- More complex in case of complete EZ break-up

#### Impact of foreign currency mismatch

	Foreign Currency Position			
	Assets > Liabilities	Assets < Liabilities		
Devaluation	+	-		
Appreciation	-	+		

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



## Impact of instruments (devaluation case)

	EXTERNAL ASSETS	External liabilities
Foreign Direct Investment		
Portfolio Investment (equity)		
Bonds (long term)		
Loans (long term)		
Bonds (short term)		
LOANS (SHORT TERM)		
CROSS-BORDER DEPOSITS		
Derivatives		
RESERVE ASSETS		

LEGEND	NEUTRAL	NOT CONSIDERED
POSITIVE	N EG ATIVE	HIGHLY NEGATIVE



#### The case of the productive sector



## A look at international investment positions

#### **International investment position**

- Aggregates financial instruments with non-resident counterparty
  - liabilities of residents to non-residents
  - assets of residents over non-residents
- Distinct from relevant net position (*i.e.* foreign currency pos.)
  - some liabilities to non-residents won't be redenominated (*e.g.* equity, deposits in domestic banks)
  - some assets not in IIP (*i.e.* involving 2 resident parties) will be redenominated (*e.g.* some bonds under foreign law)
- However, good 1<sup>st</sup> order approximation and informative by itself

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



# **Overall International investment position**



% of domestic GDP, Q3 2015

Assets Liabilities — Net (right scale)



## **IIP sectoral decomposition**



#### Excluding financial derivatives, % of domestic GDP, Q3 2015

#### **Relevant debt**



#### Intl debt securities of general government



#### C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

#### **Foreign loans of general government**



% of domestic GDP, Q3 2015

Short term Long term

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



#### Foreign direct investment: debt component

% of domestic GDP, Q3 2015



Liabilities of direct investment entreprises to direct investors
 Liabilities of direct investors to direct investment entreprises

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



# Intl debt securities of financial corporations



% of domestic GDP, Q4 2015

Short term (remaining maturity < 1 year) Long term (remaining maturity > 1 year)



## Intl debt securities of non-financial corps





#### C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



#### Foreign loans of "other" sector



% of domestic GDP, Q3 2015

Short term Long term



#### **Relevant debt estimates (1/2)**

% of GDP	Greece	Italy	Portugal	Spain	Ireland	France
General government	142%	8%	57%	12%	35%	2%
incl. short term	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Financial corporations	42%	30%	18%	43%	395%	42%
incl. short term	29%	4%	2%	8%	98%	8%
Non-financial corporations	13%	18%	20%	15%	312%	33%
incl. short term	5%	8%	8%	4%	53%	17%

C. Durand, S. Villemot – Balance sheets	after	' the	EMU
---	-------	-------	-----

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



#### Relevant debt estimates (2/2)

% of GDP	Germany	Netherlands	Austria	Luxembourg	Belgium	Finland
General government	6%	5%	35%	7%	10%	17%
incl. short term	2%	2%	4%	0%	2%	6%
Financial corporations	28%	225%	35%	876%	22%	59%
incl. short term	9%	36%	8%	135%	1%	17%
Non-financial corporations	20%	66%	23%	910%	23%	20%
incl. short term	5%	18%	6%	385%	13%	4%



#### **Relevant net position**

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



## **Relevant net position estimates**





#### **Composite risk index**

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



## **Constructing the risk index**

- Three index components
  - total debt change after €-exit
  - short term component of the latter
  - net balance sheet effect
- Computed by multiplicating:
  - foreign currency debt / net position
  - with anticipated exchange rate movements
- Thresholds to determine risk by country/sector
  - short term debt burden: <1% GDP low risk, >2% high risk
  - total debt / balance sheet burden: <5% low risk, >10% high risk
  - positive balance sheet movements can partially offset negative debt effects



#### **Exchange rate hypotheses after €-exit**

Country	Exchange rate adjustment
Belgium	-17%
Germany	+14%
Ireland	-6%
Greece	-38%
Spain	-10%
France	-11%
Italy	+1%
Luxembourg*	+14%
Netherlands	+15%
Austria	+15%
Portugal	-14%
Finland	-18%

*Source*: OFCE calculations in iAGS (2016), based on 2014 data. \* Exception for Luxembourg: peg of its new currency to Germany.

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



#### **Composite risk index**

	Public sector	Financial sector	Non-financial private sector
Austria			
Belgium			
Finland			
France			
Germany			
Greece			
Ireland			
Italy			
Luxembourg			
Netherlands			
Portugal			
Spain			



## **Policy recommendations**

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki - 27 April 2016



# **Ex ante limitation of exposure**

- Cross-country exposure already reduced by EZ crisis
- Further reduction is good planning given uncertain EZ future
- First best: diminishing stocks by rebalancing flows, *i.e.* current accounts (through higher inflation in core)
- Otherwise: discourage exposure of firms to international debt markets and foreign banks...
- ...though segmented financial markets somewhat contradictory with single currency



#### Ex post mitigation (1/2)

- Provide clear legal framework for redenomination
- Avoid devaluation overshooting
  - clearly define new parity objective and defend it
  - temporary capital controls may be needed
- Liquidity provisioning to productive sector
  - expansive monetary policy
  - requires private bank restructuring (nationalization, good/bad banks split)
  - network of public investment banks may help
  - hard foreign currency delivered in priority to importing firms

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



## **Ex post mitigation (2/2)**

- Solvency issues
  - public recapitalization if needed
  - ideally, financed by redistribution between winners and losers (but technically difficult)
  - opportunity for industrial policy and definancialization

## Conclusion

- Internal devaluation strategy ⇒ debt deflation
  = balance sheet effect (within €-area)!
- Limited overall risk of €-exit or break-up
- But some specific vulnerabilities:
  - Default on Greece's public debt and TARGET2 unavoidable; Portugal at risk
  - High risk for financial sector in Greece, Ireland, Luxembourg; medium in Finland
  - Non-financial sector more exposed in Ireland (though may be artifact of non-bank financial firms)
- Potential for negotiation because core countries also impacted

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016



#### Future work

- Spill-overs from defaults
- Intra-country redistributive impacts
- Country case studies
- Technical aspects:
  - Disentangle financial non-bank from rest of private nonfinancial
  - Disentangle € and extra-european currencies
  - Deal with financial derivatives



# **Bibliography**

- Bebczuk, R.N., Panizza, U., Galindo, A. (2006). An Evaluation of the Contractionary Devaluation Hypothesis (Research Department Publications No. 4486). Inter-American Development Bank, Research Department.
- Bleakley, H., Cowan, K. (2008). Corporate Dollar Debt and Depreciations: Much Ado About Nothing? The Review of Economics and Statistics 90, 612–626.
- Towbin, P., Weber, S. (2013). Limits of floating exchange rates: The role of foreign currency debt and import structure. Journal of Development Economics 101, 179–194.
- Amiel, D., Hyppolite, P.-A. (2015). Is there an easy way out? Private marketable debt and its implications for a Euro breakup: the case of France (Cahiers No. 2015-02). École Polytechnique
- Nordvig, J., Firoozye, N. (2012). Rethinking the European monetary union
- iAGS (2016). Give Recovery a Chance. OFCE-IMK-ECLM-AK report

C. Durand, S. Villemot - Balance sheets after the EMU

Thessaloniki – 27 April 2016

